## **ELLIS**: LAWHORNE

John F. Beach Direct dial: 803/343-1269 jbeach@ellislawhorne.com

November 22, 2006

### FILED ELECTRONICALLY AND ORIGINAL VIA 1ST CLASS MAIL SERVICE

The Honorable Charles L.A. Terreni Chief Clerk South Carolina Public Service Commission Post Office Drawer 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

RE:

Application of Wyboo Plantation Utilities, Inc. for Approval of New

Schedule of Rates and Charges for Water and Sewer Services

Docket No. 2005-13-WS, ELS File No. 1015-10306

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and one copy (1) copy of the **Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony of Mark Wrigley** for filing on behalf of Wyboo Plantation Utilities, Inc. in the above-referenced matter. By copy of this letter, I am serving all parties of record in this proceeding and enclose my certificate of service to that effect.

Please stamp "received" the additional copy of this letter, and return in the enclosed envelope.

With kind regards, I am

Yours truly,

løhn F. Beach

JB/cr

Attachments

cc:

all parties of record, w/a

Mr. Mark Wrigley, w/a

THIS DOCUMENT IS AN EXACT DUPLICATE OF THE E-FILED COPY SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS ELECTRONIC FILING INSTRUCTIONS.

#### BEFORE

#### THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

#### SOUTH CAROLINA

#### **DOCKET NO. 2005-13-W/S**

)

	IN RE	; )	
	Utilitie charge	eation of Wyboo Plantation es, Inc. for adjustment of rates and es for the provision of water and service  REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF MARK WRIGLEY	
1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS AFFILIATION, AND	
2		REASON FOR THIS TESTIMONY.	
3	A.	My name is Mark Wrigley. I am the President and Owner of Wyboo Plantation	
4		Utilities, Inc. ('Wybod'). I am filing this testimony in response to testimony filed	
5		by the S.C. Office of Regulatory Staff (ORS), and the other parties of record in	
6		this proceeding.	
7	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR GENERAL IMPRESSION OF THE CUSTOMER	
8		COMPLAINTS SURROUND THIS RATE PROCEEDING?	
9	A.	Some of the complaints express legitimate concerns, but many are organized	
10		attempts by the Property Owners Association (POA') to undermine the utility's rate	
11		request.	
12	Q.	PLEASE COMMENT ON THE CUSTOMERS' LEGITIMATE	
13		CONCERNS.	
14	Α.	Early morning water pressure has been a legitimate customer concern. This	
15		condition arose this spring after Wyboo upgraded its water system. This upgrade	

was performed by Southeastern Utilities of Turbeville. It was required by the South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC) to address the need to expand the system to address customer growth. We knew that this upgrade would be necessary when I purchased the system in 2001.

The Company initially proposed installation of an elevated tank. While this proposal was initially accepted by DHEC as the best solution, the FAA opposed it because they felt it would interfere with the flight path of aircraft landing at the adjacent air field.

As an alternative, D.C. Barbott of Florence engineered a system where the holding tanks were at ground level, and water pressure is provided by a series of electric pumps.

Southeastern Utilities completed the upgrade in March, 2006.

Unfortunately, as we tested the system, and received feedback from customers, we learned that the water system was experiencing lower pressure during the early morning hours some days.

While I suspected that the problem was caused by the new pumping equipment, D.C. Barbott insisted that the pumping configuration was adequate, and that the problem lay elsewhere. They asserted that the pressure was lower because many of the Wyboo customers were using sprinkler systems during these early morning hours.

We investigated the problem and learned of a few things. First, even though customers were experiencing lower than normal water pressures in the early morning hours, pressure was still always higher than the 25 PSI minimum

required by DHEC. Second, while the sprinkler systems were contributing to the problem, they were not primarily to blame. Finally, I discovered that the manufacturer of the booster pump station had programmed the system so that only two of the three new pumps were working at a given time. The third pump had been programmed to act only as an emergency backup.

With this information, I finally convinced the manufacturer of the booster station that they needed to bring the third pump into regular service, to work in tandem with the other two. We then learned that placing this third pump into regular service would place a load on the electrical service greater than the installed service connections could handle. Consequently, Santee Electric would have to substantially upgrade the electrical service by pulling an additional service line to the pump station location. We believe Santee will finalize this project by January 15, 2007, and that this will permanently resolve the pressure issues Wyboo's customers have been experiencing. For the time being, Wyboo is stationing personnel to manually cycle the third pump on during times when pressure has been lower.

# Q. WHAT ABOUT THE CUSTOMER COMMENTS ON THE QUALITY AND CLARITY OF WYBOO'S DRINKING WATER?

A. Wyboo's water comes from wells within the service area. Wyboo's water meets all DHEC and EPA requirements for quality and purity. Every drinking water source has a certain degree of mineral content. While the POA members have exaggerated the level of scaling or residue present in Wyboo's water, there is some

1		mineral content in Wyboo's water. This normal content is well within all
2		applicable DHEC and EPA limits.
3	Q.	WHAT RESPONSE DO YOU HAVE TO CUSTOMER COMMENTS
4		ABOUT YOUR DEMEANOR AND THEIR EFFORTS TO CONTACT
5		WYBOO WITH TROUBLE CALLS?
6	A.	I am concerned that certain customers have considered me to be brusque or
7		abrupt. While I was intending to offer assistance, after hearing their stories, I can
8		see how some of my customers may have interpreted my actions differently. This
9		was certainly not my intention, but my feelings toward those customers are not all
10		that important if my actions sent a different message.
11		I have made a real effort recently to present a more cooperative, helpful, and
12		friendly demeanor. I will continue to work on this in the future.
13		Having said that, I believe some customer comments at the night hearing and
14		otherwise are a little exaggerated. For instance, customers stated that as recently
15		as this past summer they attempted to call Wyboo and were connected to an
16		answering machine. This is not possible. On July 25, 2003 Wyboo began using
17		live answering service provided by Farmers Telephone. Since that date Wyboo
18		has used this answering service at any time that Wyboo's offices were not open.
19		Wyboo has not used an answering machine since July 25, 2003.
20	Q.	DO YOU HAVE ANY RESPONSE TO THE SERVICE CONCERNS ORS

WITNESS WILLIE MORGAN INCLUDED IN HIS TESTIMONY?

I	A. Yes, I would like to respond individually to each of Mr. Morgans concerns. In
2	the following answer I will set forth Mr. Morgan's concerns by number, and
3	provide Wyboo's response in italics:
4	
5	1. Wyboo does not maintain its books and records in accordance with
6	NARUC System of Accounts for Class C utilities pursuant to 26 SC Code
7	Regs. 103-517 and 103-719.
8	Wyboo will begin to maintain its books and records in accordance with
9	NARUC System of Accounts for Class C Utilities on January 1, 2007. Wyboo
10	has engaged a new accountant, and will be working with that accountant
11	between now and December 31 to ensure that our books and records will be
12	kept in an orderly fashion and in full compliance with the NARUC System of
13	Account for a Class C utility, going forward.
14	2. Customer billing records are inaccurate and incomplete.
15	Wyboo will keep accurate and complete billing records. This is another
16	function that we will work with our new accountant to perfect.
17	3. Bank deposit records do not reconcile with ledger or QuickBooks software
18	reports.
19	Wyboo will institute a new accounting system on or before January 1, 2007.
20	This new system will ensure that all bank deposit records reconcile with
21	Wyboo's internal accounting system.
22	4. Monthly invoices/statements are not issued for customers who pay
23	monthly fees in advance.

1	Wyboo will issue invoices/statements to all customers who pay monthly fees in	
2	advance	
3	5. Customer account records are maintained in both ledger forms and	
4	QuickBooks software. The two accounting systems do not reconcile.	
5	Wyboo will institute a new accounting system on January 1, 2007. This new	
6	system will ensure that all components of Wyboo's internal accounting system	
7	reconcile.	
8	6. Complaint records do not have a resolution provided on the complaint	
9	form as required pursuant to 26 S.C. Code Regs. 103-516, 103-538, 103-	
10	716 and 103-738.	
11	Wyboo will amend its complaint forms to include a place to note the	
12	resolution of each complaint, in compliance with the referenced Commission	
13	regulations.	
14	7. Complaint records ('Work Order System Report') show customers being	
15	required to make an unauthorized payment to Mr. Eddie Barrett not	
16	Wyboo for repair work on the Septic Tank Effluent Pump (STEP)	
17	systems. Mr. Barrett is listed as an employee of Wyboo; however, he is	
18	being treated as an independent contractor.	
19	Wyboo will handle the financial aspects of all future repairs to STEP systems	
20	in full compliance with the tariff provisions approved by the Commission in	
21	this proceeding.	

1	8. Wyboo does not maintain proper procedures to ensure complainants are
2	notified that Wyboo is under Commission jurisdiction as required by
3	Commission regulations.
4	Wyboo will follow the Commission regulations related to providing notice to
5	customers that Wyboo is under the jurisdiction of the Commission and that the
6	customer may notify the Commission of the complaint.
7	9. Customer billing format does not include a rate schedule as required by 26
8	SC Code Regs. 103-532.1(d) and 103-732.2(d).
9	Wyboo will comply with SC Code Regs. 103-532.1(d) and 103-732.2(d)
0	regarding notice on customer bills of applicable rates.
1	10. Wyboo has charged rates and charges not authorized by the Commission.
2	The Commission is considering these unapproved rates under Docket No.
.3	2006-327-WS. During the ORS Business Audit, the following
.4	unapproved rates and charges were discovered:
.5	i. Overcharge of the tap fee for establishing sewer service;
6	Wyboo will only charged the rates set forth in the tariff provisions
7	approved and amended by the Commission from time to time.
8	ii. Tap fee charged for establishing water service;
9	Wyboo will only charged the rates set forth in the tariff provisions
20	approved and amended by the Commission from time to time.
!1	iii. Cut-on fee;
.2	Wyboo will only charged the rates set forth in the tariff provisions
13	approved and amended by the Commission from time to time.

1	iv. Cut-off fee;
2	Wyboo will only charged the rates set forth in the tariff provisions
3	approved and amended by the Commission from time to time.
4	v. Illegal water use fee;
5	Wyboo will only charged the rates set forth in the tariff provisions
6	approved and amended by the Commission from time to time, along
7	with applicable regulations related to illegal water use.
8	vi. Water Service for Pool charges;
9	Wyboo will only charged the rates set forth in the tariff provisions
10	approved and amended by the Commission from time to time.
11	vii. Impact fee;
12	Wyboo will only charged the rates set forth in the tariff provisions
13	approved and amended by the Commission from time to time.
14	viii. DHEC sewer fee;
15	Wyboo will only pass through those DHEC fees allowed by the
16	Commission through regulations, Orders and/or approved tariff
17	provisions. Wyboo acknowledges that this approval does not currently
18	extend to DHEC sewer fees.
19	ix. Charges to customers for repair to sewer STEP system;
20	Wyboo will handle the financial aspects of all future repairs to STEP
21	systems in full compliance with the tariff provisions approved and
22	amended by the Commission from time to time.
23	x. Charges to customers for repair to utility water system.

Wyboo will only charge the rates set forth in the tariff approved and amended by the Commission from time to time. In normal circumstances, Wyboo acknowledges that this would not include direct charges to customers for repairs to Wyboo's water system. Wyboo will directly charge for any such repairs only in response to the extraordinary circumstances set forth in Commission regulations (e.g., S.C. Reg 103-733.5).

xi. Double charging of DHEC Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA') fee for same location.

Wyboo will only charge DHEC fees as allowed by the Commission through regulations, Orders and/or approved tariff provisions.

Wyboo will not double charge the SDWA fee to any single customer.

11. The SDWA fee authorized by DHEC and collected by Wyboo is not managed properly. During the test year, Wyboo collected over \$14,000 in SDWA fees by billing customers at a rate of \$3.50 per month per mobile home park customer and \$2.38 per month per residential customer in the Manning area. DHEC invoiced Wyboo in June 2005 for \$9,852, for its SDWA fees. Wyboo recorded a payment to DHEC in the amount of \$9,852. As set forth in S.C. Code Ann. Section 44-55-120 (Supp. 2005), SDWA fees collected from customers can only be used to pay DHEC for oversight of the drinking water system. Wyboo did not provide support that the remaining balance of \$4,148 was escrowed in a separate account

1	for subsequent DHEC billings. In addition, ORS could not determine if
2	customer fees were subsequently reduced to offset this over collection.
3	Wyboo will only pass through DHEC fees as allowed by the Commission
4	through regulations, Orders and/or approved tariff provisions. Wyboo will
5	account for these fees separately and will track, reconcile, and true-up all fees
6	billed and collected from year-to-year.
7	12. Deposits are not refunded pursuant to 26 S.C. Code Regs. 103-531.5 and
8	103-731.5.
9	Wyboo agrees to account for all deposits, including the return of deposits,
10	pursuant to the provisions set forth in 26 S.C. Code Regs., 103-531.5 and 103-
11	731.5. Wyboo believes that it has now refunded any remaining deposits as
12	required by these regulations.
13	13. Interest payments on deposits are not made to customers pursuant to 26
14	S.C. Code Regs. 103-531.2(B) and 103-731.2(B).
15	Wyboo agrees to account for all deposits, including the return of deposits,
16	pursuant to the provisions set forth in 26 S.C. Code Regs., 103-531 and 103-
17	731. Wyboo believes that it has now accounted for interest on deposits as
18	required by these regulations.
19	14. Assessments of deposits are not handled a manner consistent with
20	Commission regulations. Wyboo is unable to provide supporting
21	documentation demonstrating that customers required to make a deposit
22	for water/sewer service meet the conditions outlined in 26 S.C. Code
23	Regs.103-531. Cedar Hill and Granada Mobile Home Park customers are

23

charged a deposit to establish service. In contrast, mobile home customers near the Wyboo Plantation subdivision area have not been required to provide a deposit since 2004. This method of assessing deposits based on subdivision is discriminatory.

26 S.C. Regs. 103-531 applies to sewer service. Wyboo only provides water service to Cedar Hill and Granada Mobile Home Park customers. Therefore, 26 S.C. Code Regs 103-731 applies. Wyboo will ensure and document that each customer of which Wyboo requires a deposit meets the conditions outlined in the applicable regulations. Wyboo was requiring deposits of Cedar Hill and Granada customers because they were transient rental customers, and not because they were occupying mobile homes. Wyboo treated all transient rental customers within the Wyboo service area similarly. 15. Wyboo facilitated customer water and sewer tap fee payments to an affiliated, privately-owned company, Wrigley and Associates, Inc. Wrigley & Associates, Inc. has not obtained Commission approval pursuant to 26 S.C. Code Regs. 103-502.2, 103-502.10, 103-502.11, 103-503, 103-702.2, 103-702.13, 103-702.14, and 103-703 to charge a 'rate' for utility service. Wyboo is the entity which should collect water and sewer tap fees from lot owners and customers. Individuals who acquire water and sewer taps should do so only from a Commission certificated utility or a governmental entity which provides water and/or sewer service. The payment of tap fees to an entity other than Wyboo makes it virtually impossible to accurately track expenses and revenues for utility services.

1 Moreover, Wyboo is charging fees in excess of the approved sewer tap 2 fee. 3 Wyboo acknowledges that Wrigley and Associates, Inc. is not a public utility. Wyboo agrees and represents that Wrigley and Associates will not perform 4 5 any work for Wyboo customers unless the customer seeks Wrigley and 6 Associates to perform the work, and then only if the work is on customerowned facilities which Wyboo has no obligation to operate and maintain. 7 16. Wyboo extended its service area without Commission approval. Wyboo 8 9 provides sewer service to customers in the Mill Creek subdivision without 10 having obtained prior Commission approval as required by 26 SC Code Reg. 103-504. 11 12 When I purchased the Wyboo system in 2001, the seller, Bill Gregory, 13 informed me that Mill Creek was part of Wyboo's certificated service area. Wyboo is informed that Mill Creek Subdivision was already a part of the 14 Wyboo system when the Commission approved Wyboo's sewer service area 15 16 and rates in Commission Docket No. 1997-391-S, and was therefore also part 17 of Wyboo's service area when I purchased Wyboo's stock in 2001, a 18 transaction the Commission approved in that same year. 17. Customers are not afforded the opportunity to select an economical rate 19 schedule. Wyboo personnel identify customers using irrigation water 20 service by driving through the service area and observing customer's use of 21 22 outside sprinklers and watering hoses. According to 26 S.C. Code Regs. 23 103-730.D, Wyboo shall assist prospective customers in selecting the most

1	economical rate schedule. Wyboo independently assigns irrigation
2	charges based on Wyboo observations. If the customer was aware that a
3	separate irrigation charge would be applied to all outside watering, the
4	customer may not choose to receive irrigation services from Wyboo.
5	Wyboo does inform, and will inform all customers that they my elect not to
6	water their lawn with Wyboo's water service. If a Wyboo customer has
7	elected not to utilize Wyboo's irrigation service, and that customer is
8	observed watering his or her lawn utilizing Wyboo's water service, Wyboo is
9	informed that that customer is in violation of S.C. Code Regs 103-733.5. In
10	that event, Wyboo believes that it is required to take the actions outlined in
11	that regulation.
12	Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY RECENT OBJECTIVE INDICATIONS
13	REGARDING QUALITY OF SERVICE?
14	A. Yes. Last month DHEC conducted a thorough survey of Wyboo's wasterwater
15	treatment system. They awarded Wyboo a "Satisfactory" rating.
16	Q. DOES WYBOO AGREE WITH ORS WITNESS CHRISTINA SEALE'S
17	PROPOSAL WITH REGARD TO THE TEST YEAR SALARY
18	ADJUSTMENT?
19	A. No. Ms. Seale proposes to reduce our salary adjustment by almost \$100,000. The
20	salaries in Mr. Maready's testimony and our application are ones Wyboo is
21	actually committed to pay. ORS's testimony, if accepted by the Commission, will
22	force Wyboo to reduce its salaries by \$100,000. This will either mean that
23	Wyboo must reduce its office staff, or implement substantial salary reductions.

1		Either option will make it much more difficult for Wyboo to provide quality
2		service to its customers.
3	Q.	DO YOU AGREE WITH ORS WITNESS WILLIE MORGAN
4		REGARDING HIS CALCULATION OF WYBOO CUSTOMERS AND
5		CUSTOMER GROWTH?
6	A.	No, I believe that Mr. Morgan's testimony in this regard overstates both customer
7		count during the test year, and customer growth. The customer numbers in our
8		application and Mr. Maready's testimony are based upon my physical audit of the
9		entire system. In other words, our numbers are based upon my going from home
10		to home, recording the customer name and address, and confirming that with
11		Wyboo's billing system.
12	Q.	IF THAT IS TRUE, HOW COULD MR. MORGAN'S NUMBERS HAVE
13		BEEN DIFFERENT?
14	A.	In Mr. Morgan's defense, I believe his numbers were based upon some confusion
15		in the customer information Wyboo initially provided to ORS during its audit, and
16		in response to ORS data requests. Since Mr. Morgan filed his testimony we have
17		located additional customer ledgers and provided them to ORS and all parties as a
18		supplemental data request response. It is our hope that Mr. Morgan will use these
19		additional customer records to reconcile his numbers with the numbers contained
20		in Wyboo's application and its testimony.
21	Q.	WERE ORS WITNESS SEALE'S ADJUSTMENTS FOR RATE CASE

EXPENSES ACCURATE?

A. No, but this was partially because of Wyboo's mistake. Wyboo has paid legal and expert expense bills for work performed through August 31, 2006. Prior to Ms. Seale's testimony, we provided ORS with billing records for these expenses. Our attorneys mistakenly omitted the information showing Wyboo's payment of the August invoices. Since that time we have provided ORS with that information, which increases total paid rate case expenses by approximately \$13,000. Based upon ORS four year amortization, this would increase its rate case adjustment by approximately \$3,200. Wyboo hopes that Ms. Seale will include these paid expenses in its calculations, and provide a revised adjustment to the Commission in her surrebuttal testimony.

Naturally, Wyboo has incurred additional rate case expenses for work performed in September and October, and November. Wyboo's rate case expenses in September and October are approximately \$42,000. Wyboo will present its invoices to ORS in support of these expenses in the same fashion that it has done for previous rate case expenses. While Wyboo has not paid these expenses, it is legally required to do so, and will do so. Consistent with the Commission's past practices, Wyboo therefore requests that the Commission and ORS include these expenses, and any other expenses Wyboo incurs and documents between now and the hearing, in ORS's audit and the Commission's rate order.

#### Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

A. Yes, it does.

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF **SOUTH CAROLINA DOCKET NO. 2005-13-W/S**

IN RE:	
Application of Wyboo Plantation Utilities, Inc. for adjustment of rates and charges for the provision of water and sewer service	) ) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ) )

This is to certify that I have caused to be served this day, one (1) copy of the Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony of Mark Wrigley via electronic mail service and by placing a copy of same in the care and custody of the United States Postal Service (unless otherwise specified), with proper first-class postage affixed hereto and addressed as follows:

> Jocelyn G. Boyd, Esquire Hearing Officer South Carolina Public Service Commission Post Office Drawer 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

> > Wendy Cartledge, Esquire C. Lessie Hammonds Office of Regulatory Staff Legal Department 1441 Main Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Columbia SC 29201

Charles H. Cook, Esquire Elliott & Elliott, PA 721 Olive St. Columbia SC 29205

Robert E. Tyson, Jr.

Sowell Gray Stepp & Laffitte, LLC

PO Box 11449

Columbia SC 29211

November 22, 2006 Columbia, South Carolina